

2021年1月6日 王冬
 Prop 9.2.14. Assume that $|I| \geq 2$, and that G satisfies (CG3')
 Let $X \in \mathcal{X}$, $i, j \in I$, with $i \neq j$.
 and $Y = \{x_1, \dots, x_k(x) \mid k \geq 0, i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{i, j\}\}$ $Y = Y_i \cup Y_j$
 Then $\bar{m}_{ij}^Y = \bar{m}_{ji}^Y = \bar{m}_{ij}^X$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ constant $X = \mathcal{X}$

example 9.2.2 $I = \{1, 2\}$, $\mathcal{X} = \{x_1, x_2\}$, $r_1(x_1) = x_2$
 $r_1(x_2) = x_1$, $r_2 = id$
 $A^{x_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $A^{x_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ $|I| \geq 1$
 $K = \{1, 2, 1, 2, \dots\}$ $S_1^{x_1, x_2}(x_1)$
 $S_1^{x_1, x_2}(x_2)$
 $\alpha = a_n \alpha_1 + b_n \alpha_2$
 $a_{n+1} = 2a_n - b_n$
 $b_{n+1} = 3a_n - b_n$

β _k	a _n	b _n	β _k	a _n	b _n	S ₀ S ₁
1	1	0	2	1	1	
3	2	3	4	1	2	∞
5	1	3	6	0	1	
7	-1	0	8	-1	-1	
9	-2	-3	10	-1	-2	
11	1	3	12	0	1	
13	1	0	14	1	1	

 $\bar{m}_{12}^X = 6$
 $S_2 S_1 (a_n \alpha_1 + b_n \alpha_2) = (b_n - a_n) \alpha_1 + (3b_n - 4a_n) \alpha_2$

β	a _n	b _n
1	0	1
3	1	3
5	2	5
7	3	7
9	4	9

 $\bar{m}_{12}^X \geq \infty$

Ex 9.1.28, $\bar{m}_{12}^X = 3$, $\bar{m}_{21}^X = 3$, $r_1(x) = x$, $r_2(x) = x$

Pf: ① If $\bar{m}_{ij}^Y = \bar{m}_{ji}^Y = \infty$ for any $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ (if $k=0$, include X)
 then we are done
 ② Assume that $\bar{m}_{ij}^X < \infty$ and that $\bar{m}_{ij}^Y, \bar{m}_{ji}^Y \geq \bar{m}_{ij}^X$ for $\forall Y \in \mathcal{Y}$
 We prove that $\bar{m}_{ij}^Y = \bar{m}_{ij}^X$ for $(Y, i, j) = \tau(X, i, j)$
 and for $(Y, i, j) = \sigma(X, i, j)$
 where τ and σ are as in (9.24)
 ③ let $m = \bar{m}_{ij}^X$, $r = r_i(x)$, and $K = (i, i, j, i, \dots) = (j, \dots, j, i)$
 Then K is Y -reduced since $\bar{m}_{ji}^Y \geq \bar{m}_{ij}^X$
 In particular, $\beta_{m+1}^{X, K} \in N_0^I$ (by CG3')
 $\beta_m^{X, K} = \alpha_i$ by Lem 9.2.13
 Then $\beta_{m+1}^{X, K} \in N_0^I$ by Lem 9.2.11, $(m+1)$ not reduced)
 $\therefore \bar{m}_{ji}^Y = m$ (by CG3')
 ④ Let now $Z = Y_{j_m} \dots Y_{j_1}(Y)$ and $K' = (j_m, \dots, j_1, i)$
 Then K' isn't Z -reduced by lemma 9.2.5 (reduced to X)
 since (i, j_1, \dots, j_m) isn't X -reduced
 because of $m = \bar{m}_{ij}^X$
 On the other hand, $\bar{m}_{j_m \dots j_1 i}^Z \geq \bar{m}_{ij}^X = m$
 Thus $\bar{m}_{j_m \dots j_1 i}^Z = m$
 $\tau_{m+1}(Z, j_m, \dots, j_1, i) = \tau(Y, i, j) = \sigma(X, i, j)$
 $\bar{m}_{ji}^X = m$ proves the Prop \square

lem 9.2.15 Assume that $|I| \geq 2$, let $X \in \mathcal{X}$, $i, j \in I$
 with $i \neq j$, $X_i = X_j^X$, and $m = \bar{m}_{ij}^X$, $m < \infty$

① If G satisfies (CG3'), then $\beta_1^{X, K} = \alpha_i$
 $\beta_m^{X, K} = \alpha_j$, and $id_X(S_i S_j)^m(\alpha_i) = \alpha_j$
 $id_X(S_i S_j)^m(\alpha_j) = \alpha_i$ id_X 的原因: 终点固定 β_{18}
 ② If G satisfies (CG3') and (CG4')
 then $id_X(S_i S_j)^m = id_X$
 Pf: (1) ① Assume that G satisfies (CG3')
 Then $\bar{m}_{ji}^{X_i} = \bar{m}_{ij}^X$ by Prop 9.2.14
 Thus $\beta_m^{X_i, K} = \alpha_j$ by Lem 9.2.13 ij
 and $\beta_1^{X_i, K} = \alpha_i$ by defn.
 ② For any $1 \leq n \leq 2m$, let $i_n = i$ if n is odd,
 and $i_n = j$ if n is even
 Thus, by Prop 9.2.14, first part --
 $id_X(S_{i_1} S_{i_2})^m(\alpha_{i_1}) \xrightarrow{by \text{①}} id_X S_{i_1} \dots S_{i_m} S_{i_{m+1}}(\alpha_{i_{m+1}})$
 $\xrightarrow{by \text{①}} id_X(S_{i_1} S_{i_2} S_{i_3} S_{i_4} \dots S_{i_m})^m(\alpha_{i_1})$
 $\xrightarrow{by \text{①, 9.2.14}} id_X S_{i_1} \dots S_{i_m}(\alpha_{i_{m+1}}) = id_X S_{i_1}(\alpha_{i_1}) = \alpha_{i_1}$
 $\xrightarrow{by \text{①, 9.2.14}} id_X S_{i_1} \dots S_{i_m}(\alpha_{i_m}) = id_X S_{i_1} \dots S_{i_{m-1}}(\alpha_{i_m}) = \alpha_{i_m}$
 This proves (1)

(2) by (1), $id_X(S_i S_j)^m(\alpha_j) = \alpha_i$, fix α_i, α_j
 $(r_j r_i)^m(X) = X$ (CG4') $(F(id_X(S_i S_j)^m) = id_X)$
 then $id_X(S_i S_j)^m = id_X$

Prop 9.2.16 Assume that the semi-Carbon graph G
 satisfies (CG3') and (CG4')
 Let $X \in \mathcal{X}$, $l \geq 1$, $K = (i_1, \dots, i_l) \in I^l$ and $i \in I$
 s.t. K is X -reduced, and $id_X S_{i_1} \dots S_{i_l}(\alpha_i) \notin N_0^Z$
 Then there exists an X -reduced sequence
 $(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in I^l$, s.t. $j_l = i$, and $id_X S_{j_1} \dots S_{j_l} = id_X S_{i_1} \dots S_{i_l}$

Rmk 9.2.17 (interesting!)
 $w s_i, w^l = s_j$
 (Prop/Lem 3.10 [Kac 80] If α_i is a simple root
 and $r_1 \dots r_l(\alpha_i) \neq 0$,
 then there exists s ($1 \leq s \leq l$) s.t.
 $r_1 \dots r_s r_{s+1} \dots r_l = r_{s+1} \dots r_l r_1 \dots r_s$)

Proof of Prop 9.2.16:

① If $l=1$, then $i_1 = i$, since $S_{i_1}(\alpha_i) \notin N_0^Z$
 generally, if $i_l = i$, then the Proposition
 holds with $(j_1, \dots, j_l) = K$
 ② Assume $i_l \neq i$, then $l \geq 2$
 Let M be the set of pairs (K', p')
 where $K' = (i'_1, \dots, i'_l) \in I^l$ is X -reduced
 and $0 \leq p' < l$, s.t. $i'_l = i_l$, $i'_n \in \{i_l, i_l\}$, $\forall p' < n \leq l$
 $id_X S_{i'_1} \dots S_{i'_l} = id_X S_{i_1} \dots S_{i_l}$
 Then $M \neq \emptyset$, since $(K, l-1) \in M$
 Let $((k_1, \dots, k_l), p) \in M$ with a smallest possible p
 Then $X^X(k_1, \dots, k_l) \subseteq N_0^Z$ by (CG3')
 In particular, (k_1, \dots, k_p) is X -reduced by Lem 9.2.5
 ③ Let $j \in \{i, i_l\}$ and assume that
 $id_X S_{k_1} \dots S_{k_p}(\alpha_j) \notin N_0^Z$ \rightarrow 反例 goal
 Then $p \geq 1$, by induction hypothesis
 there exists $k'_1 \dots k'_p \in I$, s.t. (k'_1, \dots, k'_p) is X -reduced,
 $k'_p = j$, and $id_X S_{k'_1} \dots S_{k'_p} = id_X S_{k_1} \dots S_{k_p}$
 Let $K' = (k'_1, \dots, k'_p, k_{p+1}, \dots, k_l)$
 Then $X^X(K') = X^X(k'_1, \dots, k'_p) \cup \{ \beta_n^{X, (k_1, \dots, k_l)} \mid p+1 \leq n \leq l \} \subseteq N_0^Z$
 and hence K' is X -reduced by Lem 9.2.5
 Thus $(K', p-1) \in M$, p min
 contradiction!
 ④ $id_X S_{k_1} \dots S_{k_p}(\alpha_j) \in N_0^Z$ $\forall j \in \{i, i_l\}$
 Then (9.2.4) $id_X S_{k_1} \dots S_{k_p}(\alpha_i + b \alpha_{i_l}) \in N_0^Z$
 $\forall a, b \in N_0$
 Let $Y = Y_{i_1} \dots Y_{i_l}(X)$
 Then (k_{p+1}, \dots, k_l) is Y -reduced and
 $id_X S_{k_{p+1}} \dots S_{k_l}(\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z} \alpha_i + \mathbb{Z} \alpha_{i_l} \setminus N_0^Z$
 $N_0^Z \not\subseteq id_X$
 Thus (k_{p+1}, \dots, k_l, i) isn't Y -reduced by (CG3')
 and then $l-p = \bar{m}_{k_{p+1}, k_{p+2}}$
 $id_X S_{k_{p+1}} \dots S_{k_l} = id_X S_{k_{p+1}} \dots S_{k_l} S_{k_{p+2}}$ by (CG4'), Lem 9.2.8
 $(j_1, \dots, j_l) = (k_1, \dots, k_p, k_{p+2}, \dots, k_{l+1})$ \square